



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

.

MA 124535

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
. REPORT NUMBER	AD-A1245	1. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle)		S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
MINIMAX LINEAR SMOOTHING FOR CAPACITIES		Technical Report
•		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
		R-909; UILU-ENG 81-2240
7. AUTHOR(e)		S. CONTRACT OR GRANT HUMBER(s)
H. Vincent Poor		N00014-81-K-0014:
		ECS79-16453
5. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ACCRESS Coordinated Science Laboratory, 1101 W. Springfield University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Urbana, Illinois 61801		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Office of Naval Research National Science Foundation		12. REPORT DATE
		May 1981
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES
		12
4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If dillorent from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
		ISA DECLASSIFICATION/ DOWNGRASING

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the electroct entered in Block 20, if different from Report)

18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

18. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side it necessary and identity by block number)

Minimax smoothing, Choquet capacities, random fields

20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identity by block number)

Minimax linear smoothers are considered for the problem of estimating a homogeneous signal field in an additive orthogonal noise field. A minimax game with the quadratic-mean estimation error as an objective function is used to formulate this problem. Uncertainty in signal and noise field spectra is modeled using general nonparametric classes of measures proposed by Huber and Strassen for the problem or minimax hypothesis testing. These classes, which are described in terms of Choquet alternating capacities or order 2, include the conventional models for spectral uncertainty and admit a general solution to the minimax linear smoothing problem.

DD 1 JAN 73 1473

FOREWORD

This report is a preprint of a paper with the same title which is to appear in the Annals of Probability. This work was presented in preliminary form at the 18th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control, Ft. Lauderdale, FL, December 12-14, 1979.

MTIS DTIC	GRAAI [] TAB [] Mounced [] Ification	
Ave	ibution/ lability Codes double ami/or	
Dist	Special	Porie
		man & Cree

MINIMAX LINEAR SMOOTHING FOR CAPACITIES

by

H. Vincent Poor

This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant ECS 79-16453 and in part by the Office of Naval Research under Contract NO0014-81-K-0014.

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the Unites States Government.

Approved for public release. Distribution unlimited.

1. Introduction. Suppose we observe the random field $\{Y_z;z\in\mathbb{R}^n\}$ given for each $z\in\mathbb{R}^n$ by $Y_z=(S_z+N_z)$ where $\{S_z;z\in\mathbb{R}^n\}$ and $\{N_z;z\in\mathbb{R}^n\}$ are orthogonal random fields, each of which is second order, homogeneous, and quadratic-mean continuous. Suppose further that h is a complex-valued Borel-measurable function on \mathbb{R}^n , and that \hat{S}_z denotes that the linear estimate of S_z based on $\{Y_z;z\in\mathbb{R}^n\}$ which has transfer function h. Then the quadratic-mean estimation error associated with \hat{S}_z is given by

$$E\{|S_{z}-\hat{S}_{z}|^{2}\} = (2\pi)^{-n} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} |1-h|^{2} dm_{S} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |h|^{2} dm_{N}\right] \stackrel{\triangle}{=} e(h; m_{S}, m_{N})$$
 (1)

where m_{g} and M_{N} are the spectral measures on $(\mathbb{R}^{n}\mathcal{F}^{n})$ associated (via Bochner's theorem [1, p. 245]) with $\{S_z; z \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ and $\{N_z; z \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$, respectively. For fixed m_S and m_N , the minimum possible value of $e(h; m_S, m_N)$ is achieved by the estimate with transfer function $\hat{h} = dm_{_{\rm S}}/d\,(m_{_{\rm S}} + m_{_{\rm N}})$ and this minimum value is given by $(2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{h} \, dm_N^{-1}$ If, on the other hand, m_S and m_N are known only to be in classes m_S and m_N , respectively, of spectral measures on $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}^n)$, then a reasonable design strategy is to find a linear estimate whose transfer function minimizes $\mathcal{M}_S \times \mathcal{M}_N$ Such an estimate will be a minimax linear smoother for \mathcal{M}_S and \mathcal{M}_N . Certain aspects of this problem have been considered by Kassem and Lim [2] and by the author [3]. In this paper we consider the minimax linear smoothing problem for the situation in which the measure classes \mathcal{M}_{S} and \mathcal{M}_{N} are of the type generated by 2-alternating capacities as considered by Huber and Strassen [4] in the context of minimax hypothesis testing. Examples of this type of class include mixtures, Prohorov and Kolmogorov (variational) neighborhoods, and other previously considered models for spectral uncertainty.

 $[\]frac{1}{1} \text{Note that } e(h; m_{S}, m_{N}) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |h dm_{N}| + (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |h - h|^{2} d(m_{S} + m_{N}).$

Here we apply the results of Huber and Strassen to find the structure of minimax linear smoothers for general models of this type.

2. The minimax smoother for capacity classes. In the following, Ω denotes a fixed subset of \mathbb{R}^n , \mathcal{Q} denotes the Borel σ -algebra on Ω , and \mathcal{M} denotes the class of all finite measures on (Ω,\mathcal{Q}) . Recall that a finite set function v on \mathcal{Q} is a 2-alternating capacity (see Choquet [5]) on (Ω,\mathcal{Q}) if it is increasing, continuous from below, continuous from above for closed sets, and if it satisfies $v(\phi) = 0$ and $v(A \cup B) + (A \cap B) \leq v(A) + v(B)$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{Q}$. For a 2-alternating capacity v on (Ω,\mathcal{Q}) define the set \mathcal{M}_v by

$$\mathcal{M}_{r} = \{ m \in \mathcal{M} \mid m(A) \le v(A) \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{Q}, \text{ and } m(\Omega) = v(\Omega) \}.$$
 (2)

A number of properties of classes of the form of (2) have been developed by Huber and Strassen [4]. Note, for example, that \mathcal{H}_{v} is weakly compact and that, if v is a measure, then $\mathcal{H}_{v} = \{v\}$.

For any pair (v_0, v_1) of 2-alternating capacities on (Ω, \mathcal{A}) there exists a Radon-Nikodym derivative dv_1/dv_0 , introduced in [4], which has the defining property that, for each $t \in [0, \infty]$,

$$r_{t}(\{dv_{1}/dv_{0} > t\}) = \inf_{A \in \mathcal{Q}} r_{t}(A)$$
(3)

where $r_t(A) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} (1+t)^{-1} [tv_0(A) + v_1(A^C)]$. This derivative (which is a family of functions having the defining property (3)) is the basis for the minimax tests between capacity classes of the form of (2) as considered in [4]. Further properties and a generalization of this derivative have been considered by Rieder [6]. In this context we state the following result which is Theorem 4.1 of [4]:

<u>Lemma 2.1 (Huber-Strassen)</u>: Suppose v_S and v_N are 2-alternating capacities and π_0 is a version dv_S/dv_N . Then there exist measures $q_S \in \mathcal{M}_{v_S}$ and $q_N \in \mathcal{M}_{v_N}$ such that $\pi_0 \in dq_S/dq_N$ and such that

$$q_S({\pi_0 < t}) = v_S({\pi_0 < t})$$

and

$$q_{N}(\{\pi_{0} > t\}) = v_{N}(\{\pi_{0} > t\})$$

for all $t \in [0,\infty]$.

Let K denote the class of all complex-valued G-measurable functions on Ω . Lemma 2.1 leads to the following theorem:

Theorem 2.2: Suppose v_S and v_N are 2-alternating capacities on (Ω, \mathbb{Z}) . Let π_0 be a version of dv_S/dv_N and choose (q_S, q_N) as in Lemma 2.1. Define $h_0 = \pi_0 (1 + \pi_0)^{-1}$. Then $[h_0, (q_S, q_N)]$ is a saddle-point solution to the game

$$\min_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \sup_{(m_S, m_N) \in \mathcal{M}_{v_S} \times \mathcal{M}_{v_N}} e(h; m_S, m_N)$$

where e is defined in (1), and thus \mathbf{h}_0 is a minimax linear smoother for $m_{\mathbf{v}_S}$ and $m_{\mathbf{v}_N}$.

 \underline{Proof} : Noting that $h_0 \in dq_S/d(q_S + q_N)$, we have directly that

$$e(h_0;q_S,q_N) \leq e(h;q_S,q_N)$$

for all $h \in \mathcal{K}$. Thus, it is sufficient to show

$$e(h_0; m_S, m_N) \le e(h_0; q_S, q_N) \tag{4}$$

for all $(m_S, m_N) \in \mathcal{M}_S \times \mathcal{M}_N$. Lemma 2.1 asserts that π_0 is stochastically smallest over \mathcal{M}_V under q_S and is stochastically largest over \mathcal{M}_V under q_N . Thus, since $|1-h_0|^2=(1+\pi_0)^{-2}$ is decreasing in π_0 and $|h_0|^2=\pi_0^2(1+\pi_0)^{-2}$ is increasing in π_0 , we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |1 - h_0|^2 dm_S \le \int_{\Omega} |1 - h_0|^2 dq_S$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{h}_0|^2 d\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{N}} \leq \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{h}_0|^2 d\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{N}}$$

for all $(m_S, m_N) \in \mathcal{M}_{VS} \times \mathcal{M}_{VN}$. Equation (4) and hence Theorem 2.1 follow. Note that, in view of Theorem 2.1, the pair (q_S, q_N) singled out by Lemma 2.1 can be thought of a least-favorable pair of spectral measures for minimax linear smoothing. Concerning this pair of measures, we may also state the following property:

Theorem 2.3: The pair $(q_S, q_N) \in \mathcal{M}_{V_S} \times \mathcal{M}_{V_N}$ satisfies the conclusion of Lemma 2.1 if and only if its maximizes

$$\min_{h \in \mathcal{H}} e(h; m_S, m_N) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\Omega} [dm_S/d(m_S + m_N)] dm_N$$

over all $(\mathbf{m}_{S}, \mathbf{m}_{N}) \in \mathcal{m}_{\mathbf{v}_{S}} \times \mathcal{m}_{\mathbf{v}_{N}}$.

<u>Proof</u>: Define $f = dm_N/d(m_S + m_N)$. Then

$$\min_{h \in \mathcal{K}} e(h; m_S, m_N) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\Omega} f dm_S = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\Omega} (f - f^2) d(m_S + m_N).$$

Since $C[x] = (x - x^2)$ is concave and twice continuously differentiable on [0,1], Theorem 2.3 follows from Theorem 6.1 of [4].

3. <u>Discussion</u>. Theorem 2.2 gives the general solution to the minimax linear smoothing problem for signal and noise uncertainty classes of the form of (2). Several useful examples of classes of this type are given by Huber and Strassen in [4], and other useful examples are given by Rieder [6], Strassen [7], and Vastola and Poor [8]. Some of the most commonly used examples of classes of the form \mathcal{M}_{V} can be written as ε -neighborhoods of some nominal measure μ . Examples of capacity classes that have this structure are contaminated mixtures, variational neighborhoods, and Prohorov neighborhoods (see [4]). For this type of class, an uncertainty model will consist of a nominal pair (μ_{S}, μ_{N}) of signal and noise spectral measures with respective degrees ε_{S} and ε_{N} of uncertainty placed on the nominal measures. The derivative between capacities generating classes of this type is often of the form (see Ruber [9, 10] and Rieder [6])

$$\pi_{\Omega}(\omega) = \max\{c', \min\{c'', \lambda(\omega)\}\}, \omega \in \Omega, \tag{5}$$

where λ is the Radon-Nikodym derivative between the nominal pair of measures (i.e., $\lambda \in d\mu_S/d\mu_N$) and c' and c" are nonnegative constants with c' \leq c". If π_0 of (5) is a version of dv_S/dv_N , then Theorem 2.2 implies that a minimax linear smoother for π_V and π_V is given by

$$h_{\Omega}(\omega) = \max\{k', \min\{k'', h'(\omega)\}\}, \omega \in \Omega$$
 (6)

where k'=c'/(1+c'), k''=c''/(1+c'') and $h'=\lambda/(1+\lambda)$. Note that h' is the optimum smoother for the nominal model, and thus the minimax linear smoother for this case desensitizes the nominal smoother (to a degree depending on ϵ_S and ϵ_N) in those spectral regions where either μ_S or μ_N is dominant (i.e., where h' is near 1 or is near 0).

In the situations for which (5) is valid, (6) gives the transfer function of the minimax linear smoother. Suppose, for example, that n=1, $\Omega = [-b,b]$ for some $b < \infty$, c' < c'', and h' is symmetric about $\omega = 0$ and is strictly decreasing on [0,b]. Then the minimax linear estimate of S_g determined by h_0 is given explicitly by

$$\hat{S}_z = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{h}_0(z - t) Y_t dt$$

where $\bar{h}_0 \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \mathcal{F}^{-1}\{h_0\}$ is given by

$$\begin{split} \tilde{h}_0(t) &= \tilde{h}'(t) + k' [\sin(bt) - \sin(a't)]/(\pi t) + k'' \sin(a''t)/(\pi t) \\ &- \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{h}'(t - \tau) [\sin(b\tau) - \sin(a'\tau) + \sin(a''\tau)](\pi \tau)^{-1} d\tau \end{split}$$

with $\bar{h}' = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\{h'\}$ and with a' [resp., a"] the positive solution to h'(a') = k' [resp., h'(a'') = k''].

As a final comment we note that, although we assumed initially that the observation field was a continuous-parameter field, Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 are also directly applicable to the case in which the observation field is a discrete-parameter field (i.e., in which the time set is Z^n) since this latter situations corresponds to the particular case of the analysis of Section 2 in which $\Omega = [-\pi,\pi]^n$.

4. Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank K. S. Vastola for several stimulating discussions concerning the techniques used in this paper. Also the helpful comments and suggestions of the anonymous referee are gratefully acknowledged.

References

- [1] Wong, E. (1971). Stochastic Processes in Information and Dynamical Systems. McGraw-Hill, New York.
- [2] Kassam, S. A. and Lim, T. L. (1977). Robust Wiener filters. J. Franklin Inst. 304 171-185.
- [3] Poor, H. V. (1980). On robust Wiener filtering. <u>IEEE Trans. Automatic</u>

 <u>Control</u> AC-25 521-526.
- [4] Huber, P. J. and Strassen, V. (1973). Minimax tests and the Neyman-Pearson lemma for capacities. Ann. Statist. 1 251-263.
- [5] Choquet, G. (1953/54). Theory of capacities. Ann. Inst. Fourier 5 131-292.
- [6] Rieder, H. (1977). Least favorable pairs for special capacities.

 Ann. Statist. 5 909-921.
- [7] Strassen, V. (1965). The existence of probability measures with given marginals. Ann. Math. Statist. 36 423-439.

The second of th

- [8] Vastola, K. S. and Poor, H. V. (1980). On generalized band models in robust detection and filtering. <u>Proc. 1980 Conf. on Inform.</u> <u>Sciences and Systems</u>, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, March 1980, 1-5.
- [9] Huber, P. J. (1965). A robust version of the probability ratio test.

 Ann. Math. Statist. 36 1753-1758.
- [10] Ruber, P. J. (1968). Robust confidence limits. Z. Wahr. verw. Geb. 10 269-278.

Coordinated Science Laboratory 1101 W. Springfield Ave. University of Illinois Urbana, Illinois 61801

Footnote

¹Note that $e(h; m_S, m_N) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{h} dm_N + (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\hat{h} - h|^2 d(m_S + m_N)$.